



HOME LEGAL TOPICS

PRESS OFFICE EVENTS

STUDENT ZONE

CAREERS ABOUT

Home » Commercial & Corporate Law » Financial assistance – Must companies register as a Credit Provider as a prerequisite?

Financial assistance – Must companies register as a Credit Provider as a prerequisite?



Provided By **FLUXMANS ATTORNEYS**

About Us Fluxmans Attorneys Is One Of South Africa's Top Law Firms. We Offer An Extensive Range Of Legal Services To A Diverse Client Base, Including Individuals And Large Corporates Across All Se...

MOREBy **DARRYL FURMAN****Topics** Banking & Finance Law | Commercial & Corporate Law

06 Jul 2021

Is a company which provides a loan to a person for the purpose of acquiring shares in such company required to register as a credit provider in terms of the [National Credit Act 34 of 2005](#) (“the NCA”)?

In considering the answer one must have reference to both the NCA and the [Companies Act 71 of 2008](#) (“the Companies Act”).

In terms of the NCA a loan by its very nature is a credit agreement, however its provisions do not apply to every credit agreement. One of the consequences should the NCA apply is that the company lending the funds must be registered as a credit provider at the time the credit agreement is entered into or must have applied for registration within 30 days after the credit agreement is entered into. If the lender was not registered at this time or failed to apply to be registered, the credit agreement is unlawful and may be declared void by a court.

Generally, the provisions of the NCA apply to all credit agreements between parties dealing at arm’s length, which are made within or have an effect within South Africa. There are, however, exceptions when the NCA will not be applicable to such arm’s length credit agreements, such as –

- where the consumer (borrower) is a juristic person whose asset value or turnover alone (or together with the combined asset value or annual turnover of all related juristic persons), at the time the credit agreement is made, equals or exceeds the prescribed threshold. The current threshold is R1 000 000. A “juristic person” is defined as a partnership, association or other body of persons, corporate or unincorporated or a trust if there are three or more trustees or the trustee is itself a juristic person, but does not include a stokvel. A juristic person is related to another juristic person if (i) one of them has direct or indirect control over the whole or part of the business of the other; or (ii) a person has direct or indirect control over both of them;
- where the consumer is a juristic person whose asset value or turnover (or together with the combined asset value or annual turnover of all related juristic persons) is less than the threshold of R1 000 000, but the credit agreement constitutes a “large agreement”. A credit agreement is a “large agreement” if it is a “mortgage agreement” (being a credit agreement that is secured by the registration of a mortgage bond over immovable property) or any other credit transaction (except for a pawn transaction or a credit guarantee) and the principal debt under that transaction is R250 000 or above.

Should any of the above exceptions apply, the company which grants the loan does not have to register as a credit provider in terms of the NCA before making the loan.

In addition to the above exceptions, the NCA will not be applicable to credit agreements in instances where the parties to the credit agreement are not dealing at arm's length. Although the NCA does not define the term "arm's length" it does provide a non-exhaustive list of arrangements in which parties will be deemed to be not dealing at arm's length. For the purposes of this article and the question posed at the outset the following arrangements are deemed not to be at arm's length –

- a loan to a shareholder;
- any other arrangement in which each party is not independent of the other and consequently does not necessarily strive to obtain the utmost possible advantage out of the transaction.

In applying the above, it is simple to deduce that parties are not acting at arm's length in instances where at the time the loan agreement is concluded, the borrower is a shareholder of the lending company. In these instances the company will not have to register as a credit provider as a prerequisite to granting such a loan. Accordingly, a loan by a company to an existing shareholder for the purpose of acquiring additional shares in the company is not regulated by the NCA and accordingly, the company does not have to register as a credit provider by virtue of granting such loan.

As the NCA specifically refers to a loan to a shareholder being excluded from the application of the NCA, does this then imply that loans to non-shareholders, for the purpose of acquiring shares in the lending company, fall within the ambit of the NCA and in all such instances a company which lends money to any non-shareholder, for the purpose of acquiring shares in the lending company, and provided none of the other aforementioned exceptions are applicable, must register as a credit provider before such loan is entered into? A strict interpretation of the NCA suggests that this may be the case.

Should such a strict interpretation always apply? Can a loan by a company to a non-shareholder for the purposes of acquiring shares in such company in certain circumstances be considered to be an arrangement "in which each party is not independent of the other and consequently does not necessarily strive to obtain the utmost possible advantage out of the transaction" in which case the company would not have to be registered as a credit provider? One would think this should be the case in instances where, for example, the borrower is a director (but not a shareholder) of the lending company and the loan is subject to favourable repayment terms, such as a nominal interest rate (or minimum interest rate so as not to be

classified as a dividend by the [South Africa Revenue Services](#)) and repayable over an extended period of time or only from the proceeds of dividends attributable to the subject shares which are acquired.

Companies must, however, be cautious in making loans where such company is not registered as a credit provider as one of the consequences of a company advancing a loan which falls within the ambit of the NCA, without being registered as a credit provider, is that a court may declare the loan to be unlawful and unenforceable. Given such a consequence companies are vulnerable to abuse by persons who may seek to avoid their repayment obligations by raising the company's non-registration as a valid, albeit a technical, defence. With such a harsh consequence it may be advisable that companies register as credit providers prior to granting loans to persons (other than shareholders) for the purpose of acquiring shares in the company.

Companies must also take into consideration the provisions of section 44 of the Companies Act when determining the terms and conditions which will apply to loans to persons to enable them to acquire shares in such company. Section 44(3)(b) of the Companies Act provides that a board of a company may not authorise any financial assistance in terms of section 44 unless the board is satisfied that (i) immediately after providing the financial assistance, the company would satisfy the solvency and liquidity test; and (ii) the terms under which the financial assistance is proposed to be given are fair and reasonable to the company.


What constitutes terms which are “fair and reasonable to the company” is not so simple to determine, for example, is the board only required to consider the commercial terms of the loan and/or whether any security provided for the repayment of the loan is adequate or is this requirement inserted to protect the interests of only the shareholders and/or creditors of the company? If this is the case, would a loan granted at a nominal interest rate and/or contain other favourable repayment terms to a borrower, be deemed to be a loan which contains terms which are not fair and reasonable to the lending company? Therefore, while a company may offer favourable repayment terms to a non-existing shareholder in order to avoid having to register as a credit provider in terms of the NCA, they must ensure that in so doing they do not fall foul of Section 44(3)(b) of the Companies Act.

See also:

- [Requirements for providing guarantees – Financial assistance to companies](#)
- [COVID-19 and the legislative landscape – Financial services regulators](#)
- [Consumer alert – Risk of not registering as a credit provider](#)

- [Reckless trading, fraud, contraventions and the onus on business rescue practitioners](#)

(This article is provided for informational purposes only and not for the purpose of providing legal advice. For more information on the topic, please contact the author/s or the relevant provider.)



[Darryl Furman](#)

Darryl Furman holds a BCom and LLB degree. He served articles at Nathanson Bowman and Nathan attorneys, was admitted as an attorney in March 2003, became a partner of Nathanson... [Read more about Darryl Furman](#)

[Click here to send a legal query to Darryl Furman](#)

Share



Commercial & Corporate Law articles by Fluxmans Attorneys

AFSA International Arbitration Rules introduced

Considerations when negotiating and enforcing commercial contracts

Can a business rescue practitioner unilaterally make amendments to an adopted business rescue plan?

Think twice before compromising a statutory preference in a business rescue plan

Commercial & Corporate Law articles on GoLegal

South Africa cements its lead in the African arbitration landscape

Why do business like it is 2019?

Final liquidation order – Not so final?

Are you sure that your power of attorney is valid?

PROVIDED BY:

Fluxmans

BY













Darryl Furman

Darryl Furman holds a BCom and LLB degree. He served articles at Nathanson Bowman and Nathan attorneys, was admitted as an attorney in March 2003, became a partner of Nathanson Bowman and Nathan in...

[SEND A LEGAL QUERY TO DARRYL FURMAN](#)

EXPERTS IN COMMERCIAL & CORPORATE LAW

	Adams & Adams <i>14 Relevant articles</i>
	AJS <i>1 Relevant articles</i>
	Eversheds Sutherland <i>49 Relevant articles</i>
	Fluxmans Attorneys <i>8 Relevant articles</i>
	Gildenhuis Malatji Incorporated <i>4 Relevant articles</i>
	Juta <i>9 Relevant articles</i>
	KISCH IP <i>20 Relevant articles</i>
	LexisNexis <i>17 Relevant articles</i>
	Sabinet <i>4 Relevant articles</i>
	SchoemanLaw Inc <i>21 Relevant articles</i>





UPCOMING EVENTS

What does it take to be a modern lawyer?

AUG 19 @ 8:30 AM - 9:30 am

The ABCs of corporate governance – Unpacking essential tools

AUG 24 @ 2:00 PM - 3:00 pm

Unpacking SLA's – Service Level Agreements

AUG 26 @ 2:00 PM - 3:00 pm

Insourcing versus outsourcing – The resources required

SEP 22 @ 11:00 AM - 12:00 pm

Series: Three steps to becoming a better leader (Part 3)

OCT 21 @ 2:00 PM

Want the latest legal news and views in your box?

Your email address

Subscribe

ABOUT US

GoLegal is a leading industry news and information portal for the South African legal sector, catering to attorneys, corporate counsel, legal scholars, policy makers and other corporate and legal interest groups.

[READ MORE >](#)

OUR SERVICES

[Promote Legal Conferences, Events and Seminars](#)

[Advertise with GoLegal](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

FOLLOW US



SIGN UP FOR OUR NEWSLETTER

Want the latest legal news and views in your box?

SUBSCRIBE

2019 GOLEGAL ALL RIGHTS RESERVED | WEBSITE POWERED BY CLOUDFUSION